

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/125,022 11/24/98 DE FLORA P8903-8035 **EXAMINER** HM12/1031 ARENT FOX KINTNER & KAHN OWENS JR, H ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20036-5339

1623
DATE MAILED:

10/31/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

and the second s	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/125,022	DE FLORA ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Howard V Owens	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	l) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clain	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	I amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 13-15.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. ☐ Other:		-	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record set forth in the office action mailed 5/23/01. The narrowing of the doseage reange to 2-10 does not overcome the teachings of the prior art nor the reasonable expectation of success set forth by the examiner in the combination of doxorubicin and N-Acetylcysteine.

JAMES O. WILSON

GROUP 1600